\$ 00.509 0004204479 DEC 19 2085 F MAILED FROM ZIPCODE 223/14

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER

OFFICIAL BUSINESS

USPTO MAIL CENTER UEL 2 7 2005 ☐ INSUFFICIENT ADDRESS
☐ ATTEMPTED NOT KNOWN
☐ NO SUCH NUMBER, STREET
☐ NOT DELIVERABLE AS ADDRESSED
UNABLE TO FORWARD

P.O. BOX 1450 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450 IF UNDELIVERABLE RETURN IN TEN DAYS

Organization IC LAGA BIdg./RoorREMSEN U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS



## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE Anthony B. Eoga PA00-1010-Y 8687 09/751,160 12/28/2000 EXAMINER 12/19/2005 7590 ANTHONY, JOSEPH DAVID STEVEN B. STEIN, ESQ. STEIN & STEIN PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 164 ROUTE 10 WEST 1714 SUCCASUNNA, NJ 07876 DATE MAILED: 12/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/751,160	EOGA, ANTHONY B.		
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Joseph D. Anthony	1714		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app				
This application is abandoned in view of:				
	1. H			
<ul> <li>1. Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on <u>03 June 2005</u>.</li> <li>(a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated ), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of month(s)) which expired on</li> </ul>				
(b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection.				
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114).				
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).				
(d) ⊠ No reply has been received.				
2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).				
(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).				
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.				
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$				
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.				
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).				
(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.				
(b) No corrected drawings have been received.				
4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.				
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.				
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferon of the decision has expired and there are no allowed cla		se the period for seeking court review		
7. The reason(s) below:				
,				
		Joseph D. Anthony Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1714  /2/11/05		
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	of Abandonment	Part of Paper No. 20051206		

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary 09/751,	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/751,160	EOGA, ANTHONY B.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Joseph D. Anthony	1714
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Joseph D. Anthony</u> .	(3)	
(2) Steven B. Stein.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 6 December 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:  Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE Applicant's representative said case as been let gone abandone		AS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa</li> </ul>	ne examiner will provide a wri	tten summary of the substance e interview, since the interview
you Anthon 1	16/09	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicar	nt/Applicant's Representative	Signature – if appropriate)

מני ייני אים